

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/688,574	SEUL, MICHAEL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kishor Mayekar	1753	

All Participants:

(1) Kishor Mayekar.

(2) Eric Mirabel.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

the obviousness-type double patenting rejection

Claims discussed:

25

Prior art documents discussed:

prior art of record

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

the paper filed 25 October did not include a terminal disclaimer as address in the paper to obviate the obviousness-type double patenting rejection in the first Office action and did not address to the rejection of claim 25.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)